

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,725	10/751,725 01/05/2004		William Robert Ouellette	8222D	2250	
27752	7590	06/02/2005		EXAMINER		
		GAMBLE COM	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ			
		HNICAL CENTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENT	ER HILL	AVENUE		1771		
CINCINNA	TI, OH	45224		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(/^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>v</i>
	10/751,725	OUELLETTE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuding reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02.	Mav 2005.		
•	is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allows		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 10-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress   5. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 and 10-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 January 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the priority docum	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 02, 2005 has been entered.

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed May 02, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - a. Applicants have amended independent claim 1 to recite [a]n absorbent fibrous structure comprising a <u>one ply</u> web of entangled synthetic fibers... Support for such limitation is found at page 4, line 28 through page 5, line 1. Applicants further indicate that such amendment is to include the limitation that the web is made from a single ply of the eccentric bicomponent fibers and that the pending claims are novel over the MAYS art because MAYS discloses a fabric comprising multiple plies comprised of fibers of different materials.

It is first noted that the present independent claim 1 uses an open-ended language, "comprising" that does not exclude other type of materials in the fibrous structure. It is noted that the MAYS reference shows in Figure 5 shows a layer of conjugate fibers 80 provided at both surfaces of a layer of base fibers 82 and entangled therewith. After heat treatment to thermobond the conjugate fibers to each other and to the base fibers, the fabric is provided with reinforced surfaces 84 and 86, each comprising thermobonded entangled network of conjugate fibers and base fibers. (Page 15, lines 21-30; Figure 5) It is the Examiner's interpretation that the present invention as claimed does not preclude

Application/Control Number: 10/751,725 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

the inclusion of the base fibers of the MAYS reference and that the entangled and thermally bonded product shown in Figure 5 of the reference is considered to be a "one ply" web.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MAYS (EP 0171807 A2) further evidenced by MAGNUSSON (WO 99/00098).

MAYS discloses an entangled nonwoven fabric having a layer of fusible fibers on one or both surfaces of a relatively thick layer of base fibers. The fusible fibers can be conjugate fibers. The thermoplastic fibers are located on the outer surface of the base fibers either prior to or subsequent to the entangling of the fibers. (Abstract) The reference teaches the use of polyolefin fibers with a melting temperature in the range of about 163-171 °C as thermoplastic fusible fibers. (Page 4, lines 23-26) MAYS shows in Figure 5 shows a layer of conjugate fibers 80 provided at both surfaces of a layer of base fibers 82 and entangled therewith. After heat treatment to thermobond the conjugate fibers to each other and to the base fibers, the fabric is provided with reinforced surfaces 84 and 86, each comprising thermobonded entangled network of conjugate fibers and base fibers. (Page 15, lines 21-30; Figure 5) It is the Examiner's interpretation that the present invention as claimed does not preclude the inclusion of

Art Unit: 1771

the base fibers of the MAYS reference and that the entangled and thermally bonded product shown in Figure 5 of the reference is considered to be a "one ply" web.

The reference teaches entangling the fabric and then passing it through a heating means where the low melting point component of the conjugate fibers is melted and bonding occurs at the point of intersection and tangency of the conjugate fibers. With this reinforcing of the outer surfaces by the bonding of the conjugate fiber to each other, the outer surfaces of the fabric are stronger and pilling and fraying is substantially decreased if not eliminated, without effecting the basic fabric characteristics, such as absorbency, of the base layer. (Page 6, line 31 through Page 7, lines 1-5) The reference teaches the use of sheath/core bicomponent fibers and also side-by-side conjugate fibers. (Page 9, lines 29-32) The reference teaches the use of fibers with lengths in excess of about 0.25 inches (0.635 cm) up to about 3 inches (7 cm). (Page 9, lines 35-36) In Figure 5, the reference shows a fabric with thermobonded fusible fibers in the surfaces. It is further noted that on page 14, lines 24-29 of the MAYS reference, the reference teaches that depending on the surface strength desired, the fiber content ratio of the fabric could be as low as 90 percent polyester, 10 percent conjugate fiber, and as high as 10 percent polyester and 90 percent conjugate fiber.

It is the Examiner's position that the MAYS reference provides the entangled synthetic fibers structure with a center region fibers not thermally bonded in the center region and with thermal bonding in the top and bottom surface. The reference meets the limitation of the fibers being bicomponent fibers of the sheath-core or side-by-side type. It is noted that eccentric bicomponent fibers are known in the art as a type of side-by-side bicomponent fiber. This is evidenced by MAGNUSSON that teaches an absorbent article that uses thermoplastic

Application/Control Number: 10/751,725 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

bicomponent fibers of a side-by-side type. In Figure 2, the reference shows different types of side-by-side bicomponent fibers. Figure 2c is a bicomponent of an eccentric type. (Page 4, lines 1-11) It is the Examiner's position that MAYS teachings include side-by-side bicomponent fibers and this teaching is interpreted as being inclusive of all the different possible structures known in the art and evidenced by MAGNUSSON.

It is noted that although the prior art or record does not explicitly teach the claimed Ambient Temperature Oil Absorbency it is reasonable to presume that this property is inherent to the nonwoven fabric of MAYS. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. a nonwoven of entangled bicomponent fibers that is heat bonded at the top and bottom surfaces; the fabric can have a fiber content ratio of 10 percent polyester and 90 percent conjugate fiber). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of Ambient Temperature Oil Absorbency of at least about 7g/g would obviously have been present one the MAYS product is provided. Note In re Best, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection made above under 35 USC 102.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TERADA (US 5,693,420) in view of MAYS.

Art Unit: 1771

TERADA discloses a nonwoven fabric produced using side-by-side type or sheath-and-core type thermally fusible composite fibers. (Abstract) The sheath-and-core yarns may be concentric or eccentric. (Col. 4, lines 53-54) The fabric may be formed carding, air laying, paper making methods and the fabric may be subjected to heat treatments. The fabric is useful as an oil absorbing material as well as for forming absorbent trays to hold foods. (Col. 2, lines 31-41; Col. 4, lines 31-37; Col. 4, lines 54-65; Col. 5, lines 4-18; Col 5, lines 39-41)

While the reference teaches subjecting the fabric to heat treatment, it is silent to having a gradient of thermal bonding or thermally bonding just the surfaces and not the center region as claimed herein.

MAYS teaches a similar construction in which the fabric is entangled and then passed through a heating means where the low melting point component of the conjugate fibers is melted and bonding occurs at the point of intersection and tangency of the conjugate fibers. With this reinforcing of the outer surfaces by the bonding of the conjugate fiber to each other, the outer surfaces of the fabric are stronger and pilling and fraying is substantially decreased if not eliminated, without effecting the basic fabric characteristics, such as absorbency, of the base layer. (Page 6, line 31 through Page 7, lines 1-5)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the fabric of the TERADA et al. reference and provide it with heat bonding in the outer surfaces with the motivation of increasing the strength of the outer surfaces while decreasing pilling and fraying, as disclosed by TERADA et al. It is noted that although the prior art or record does not explicitly teach the claimed Ambient Temperature Oil Absorbency it is reasonable to presume that this property is inherent to the nonwoven fabric of

Art Unit: 1771

TERADA and MAYS. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. a nonwoven of entangled bicomponent fibers that is heat bonded at the top and bottom surfaces; the fabric can have a fiber content ratio of 10 percent polyester and 90 percent conjugate fiber). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of Ambient Temperature Oil Absorbency of at least about 7g/g would obviously have been present one the TERADA and MAYS product is provided. Note In re Best, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection made above under 35 USC 102. Reliance upon inherency is not improper even though rejection is based on Section 103 instead of Section 102. *In re Skoner, et al.* (CCPA) 186 USPQ 80.

7. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MAYS as applied to claims 1 and 3 above or in the alternative over TERADA in view of MAYS, and further in view of BRASSINGTON (WO 93/22486).

MAYS and TERADA are silent to the density of the nonwoven web.

BRASSINGTON is concerned with an absorbent material suitable for use in medical or hygienic applications. (Page 1, first paragraph) The reference teaches the use of bicomponent fibers (Page 3, line 17), and uses similar methods of bonding the fabric (Page 4, lines 20-24). On page 9, lines 29-31; the reference teaches that the fabric web has a weight of 165 g/m² and a thickness of around 3.5 mm. The Examiner has calculated the density of the fabric disclosed by the reference based on the weight and thickness of the web and it provides a density of 47.14 mg/m³. This values reads on the presently claimed density of about 100 mg/m³ or less.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the web of MAYS or TERADA and MAYS and provide it with

Application/Control Number: 10/751,725 Page 8

Art Unit: 1771

a density of 47 mg/m<sup>3</sup> as in the BRASSINGTON reference motivated by the desire to produce a

web with a density that is acceptable with applications such as medical or hygienic absorbent

products.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-

1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

NORCATORRES RIMARY EXAMINER

May 23, 2005